



Hornsea Project Four

Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Kittiwake Onshore Artificial Nesting Roadmap

Deadline 5, Date: 20 June 2022

Document reference: B2.7.4

Revision: 04

Prepared GoBe Consultants Ltd. June, 2022
Checked Dr Sarah Randall, Orsted. June, 2022
Accepted Francesca De Vita, Orsted. June, 2022
Approved Dr Julian Carolan, Orsted. June, 2022

B2.7.4
Ver. D

Revision Summary

<i>Rev</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Prepared by</i>	<i>Checked by</i>	<i>Approved by</i>
01	28/09/2021	GoBe Consultants Ltd., September 2021	Dr Sarah Randall, Orsted, September 2021	Dr Julian Carolan, Orsted, September 2021
02	08/03/2022	GoBe Consultants Ltd., March 2022	Dr Sarah Randall, Orsted, March 2022	Dr Julian Carolan, Orsted, March 2022
03	29/03/2022	GoBe Consultants Ltd., March 2022	Dr Sarah Randall, Orsted, March 2022	Dr Julian Carolan, Orsted, March 2022
04	20/06/2022	GoBe Consultants Ltd., June 2022	Dr Sarah Randall, Orsted, June 2022	Dr Julian Carolan, Orsted, June 2022

Revision Change Log

<i>Rev</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Section</i>	<i>Description</i>
01	-	-	Submitted at Application
02	Amended throughout	Amended throughout	Removal of Gannet from the document for Deadline 1
02	7	1	Position on kittiwake AEol conclusion
02	Amended throughout	Amended throughout	Updates on implementation studies for Deadline 1
03	18 - 23	11	Updates to DCO wording
03	Amended throughout	Amended throughout	Changes to implementation and monitoring plan names
04	Amended throughout	Amended throughout	Updates to reflect progress for Deadline 5 submission.
04	Updated throughout	Updated throughout	Updated regarding strategic compensation and Marine Recovery Fund.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	6
2	Description and Scope	7
2.2	Scale of compensation	7
2.3	Strategic Compensation	7
3	Next Steps	9
4	Indicative timescale for delivery and implementation.....	9
5	Consultation	11
6	Site selection, design, and construction	12
6.1	Site selection	12
6.2	Design.....	13
6.3	Construction and operation	14
7	Monitoring and Adaptive Management	15
7.2	Adaptive Management	16
8	Decommissioning.....	18
9	Securing key consents and legal agreements	18
9.1	Legal agreement(s).....	18
9.2	Compulsory Purchase	19
10	Securing key consents.....	19
11	Draft DCO wording.....	20
12	Funding.....	26
13	Conclusion.....	26
14	References	27

List of Tables

Table 1	Indicative timescale for delivery and implementation.....	10
---------	---	----

Glossary

Term	Definition
Compensation / Compensatory Measures	If an Adverse Effect on the Integrity on a designated site is determined during the Secretary of State's Appropriate Assessment, compensatory measures for the impacted site (and relevant features) will be required. The term compensatory measures is not defined in the Habitats Regulations. Compensatory measures are however, considered to comprise those measures which are independent of the project, including any associated mitigation measures, and are intended to offset the negative effects of the plan or project so that the overall ecological coherence of the national site network is maintained.
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP).
In-Combination Effect	The effect of Hornsea Four in-combination with the effects from other plans and projects on the same feature/receptor.
Offshore Ornithology Engagement Group (OOEG)	The Hornsea Four Offshore Ornithology Engagement Group means the group that will assist, through consultation the undertaker in relation to the delivery of each compensation measures as identified in the kittiwake compensation plan, the gannet compensation plan and the razorbill and guillemot compensation plan. Matters to be consulted upon to be determined by the Applicant and will include site selection, project/study design, methodology for implementing the measure, monitoring, and adaptive management options as set out in the kittiwake compensation plan, the gannet compensation plan and the razorbill and guillemot compensation plan.
Planning Inspectorate (PINS)	The agency responsible for operating the planning process for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs).
Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment	The information that the Competent Authority needs to inform an Appropriate Assessment at Stage 2 of the HRA process and which has been provided by the Applicant in the RIAA (Volume 2, Annex 2: Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment Part 1 (submitted at Deadline 5), Part 2 (REP2-005) , Part 3 (AS-013) , Part 4 (REP1-012) , Part 5-12 (APP-171-178)).
Special Protection Area (SPA)	Strictly protected sites designated pursuant to Article 4 of the Birds Directive (via the Habitats Regulations) for species listed on Annex I of the Directive and for regularly occurring migratory species.
Black-legged kittiwake biogeographic population	The east Atlantic breeding population of kittiwake which includes individuals from the Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA (Stroud <i>et al.</i> , 2016). Proposed compensation measures will be undertaken within this populations breeding and migratory range.

Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
AEOI	Adverse Effect on Integrity
BEIS	Department of Business Energy and Industrial Strategy
CfD	Contracts for Difference
DCO	Development Consent Order
FFC	Flamborough and Filey Coast
FID	Final Investment Decision
KCIMP	Kittiwake Compensation Implementation and Monitoring Plan
MMO	Marine Management Organisation
OOEG	Offshore Ornithology Engagement Group
PINS	Planning Inspectorate
RSPB	Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
SNCBs	Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies
SoS	Secretary of State
SPA	Special Protection Area
UK	United Kingdom

1 Introduction

- 1.1.1.1 This Onshore Artificial Nesting Roadmap document provides an overview of the anticipated next steps for implementation of an artificial nesting structure as a compensation measure for Hornsea Four, if deemed necessary by the Secretary of State following their Appropriate Assessment. The Applicant's preferred compensation measure for kittiwake is a repurposed offshore nesting structure, followed by a new offshore nesting structure. An onshore nesting structure is being considered as a compensation option for kittiwake, in case the preferred offshore structure cannot be delivered, or an onshore artificial nesting structure is deemed necessary by the Secretary of State. It should be noted that document will be updated as necessary and should an onshore compensation measure be required, it will be added to or revised as the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for Hornsea Four progresses. This roadmap sets out a clear pathway to demonstrate that the compensation measure can be secured and that the mechanism for delivery of the compensation measure can be implemented.
- 1.1.1.2 Following the Applicant's submission, the Applicant has revisited its conclusion of no potential for an adverse effect on integrity (AEol) in respect of the kittiwake feature of the Flamborough and Filey Coast Special Protection Area (FFC SPA) from Hornsea Four and concluded AEol on the FFC SPA in combination with other plans and projects. The Applicant maintains its position of no AEol alone or in combination for all other qualifying species of the FFC SPA and for all other European sites.
- 1.1.1.3 In the DCO Application the Applicant's proposed without prejudice compensatory measures for gannet and kittiwake were presented together in a single [B2.7 Gannet and Kittiwake Compensation Plan \(APP-186\)](#). However, as set out in the Applicant's position paper ([G1.5 Kittiwake Adverse Effects on Integrity \(AEol\) Conclusion \(AS-023\)](#)), the Applicant is updating the Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment (RIAA (Revision 3 of [B2.2 Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment Part 1](#) (submitted at Deadline 5) and [Part 4 \(REP1-012\)](#) and its derogation case ([B2.5 Without Prejudice Derogation Case \(REP1-015\)](#)) based on an overall conclusion that there is potential for an AEol on kittiwake at the FFC SPA from Hornsea Four in-combination with other projects (see [G1.5 Kittiwake Adverse Effects on Integrity \(AEol\) Conclusion \(AS-023\)](#)).
- 1.1.1.4 In light of the Applicant's updated position on kittiwake, it is considered appropriate to separate the compensatory measures for gannet ([G5.17 FFC SPA: Gannet Compensation Plan](#) and kittiwake (Revision 2 of [B2.7 FFC SPA: Kittiwake Compensation Plan](#) (update revision to be provided at Deadline 5)) into separate Roadmaps, Compensation Plans (and consequently separate Implementation and Monitoring plans), reflecting that compensatory measures for kittiwake are now considered necessary, whereas for gannet the Applicant remains confident there would be no AEol alone or in combination and the compensatory measures for gannet therefore remain "without prejudice" measures. Therefore, this Roadmap has been updated to focus solely on kittiwake.
- 1.1.1.5 In light of the Applicant's updated position on kittiwake, it is considered appropriate to separate the compensatory measures for gannet ([G5.17 FFC SPA: Gannet Compensation Plan](#); to be submitted at Deadline 5) and kittiwake (Revision 2 of [B2.7 FFC SPA: Kittiwake Compensation Plan](#) (updated revision to be submitted at Deadline 5) ([APP-186](#))) (into separate compensation plans (and consequently separate Implementation and Monitoring plans), reflecting that compensatory measures for kittiwake are now considered necessary, whereas for gannet the Applicant remains confident there would be no AEol alone or in

combination and the compensatory measures for gannet remain “without prejudice” measures. This Roadmap now focusses on onshore artificial nesting for kittiwake.

2 Description and Scope

- 2.1.1.1 The provision of an onshore artificial nest structure at a site to increase the annual recruitment of black-legged kittiwake, *Rissa tridactyla* (kittiwake) into the species biogeographic is considered a viable compensation measure for a potential AEoI at the FFC SPA. This document provides the roadmap of next steps for Onshore Artificial Nesting.
- 2.1.1.2 The approach to site selection and design is primarily driven by the ecological/habitat requirements of the ornithology interests, to increase the likelihood of colonisation and ensure the success of the structure. The onshore artificial nesting structure will be located within one of two search zones (in East Suffolk, or between Cayton Bay and Newbiggin by the Sea). The structure will be designed to accommodate the level of compensation required (with additional capacity available) for kittiwake and will accord with the design principles and indicative maximum parameters set out below.
- 2.1.1.3 Kittiwake have been observed readily utilising man-made structures (APEM, 2021 and Niras, 2021) and therefore it is considered that the establishment of an artificial nest site would provide a viable and effective compensation option. Successful establishment of breeding colonies at a site would produce young, which would become part of the wider biogeographic population of kittiwake, thereby maintaining the coherence of the network of SPAs designated for kittiwake. The Ecological Evidence Reports ([B2.7.1 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Offshore Artificial Nesting: Ecological Evidence \(APP-187\)](#), [B2.7.3 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Onshore Artificial Nesting: Ecological Evidence \(APP-189\)](#)) set out the ecological evidence for the artificial nesting measures and support likely successful compensation measures. In particular, the kittiwake population modelling document ([Appendix F of B2.7.1 Compensation measures for FFC SPA Offshore Artificial Nesting Ecological Evidence \(APP-187\)](#)) indicates there is an ample supply of immature birds searching for nest sites and available recruits for appropriately sited artificial nesting sites.

2.2 Scale of compensation

- 2.2.1.1 The potential collision mortality effect from Hornsea Four for the project alone is predicted to be 23 individuals. It is calculated that approximately 62 additional breeding pairs will be required to compensate for the potential effect (see [B2.2: Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment](#) (submitted at Deadline 5) and Revision 2 of [B2.7 FFC SPA: Kittiwake Compensation Plan](#) (submitted at Deadline 5) for further details on the predicted effects and compensation package). An additional population of kittiwake could be accommodated on an onshore artificial nesting structure. A colony of over 400 pairs of kittiwakes could easily be supported by an artificial nesting structure, based on initial reviews of structure designs (see [Section 6](#)), and therefore easily provide over the required breeding pairs (23 individuals/ 62 breeding pairs, presented in [Table 2](#) of Revision 2 of [B2.6 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Overview](#) (submitted at Deadline 5)). The Applicant therefore has a high degree of confidence of the feasibility of this compensation measure.

2.3 Strategic Compensation

- 2.3.1.1 The Policy paper 'British Energy Security Strategy' (BESS) published by BEIS in April 2022 recognises the even greater need for rapid development of offshore wind farms committing to 'cut the process time by over half' and 'helping to speed up delivery timelines'. The Applicant refers to G5.8 Ørsted's approach to strategic ecological compensation (submitted

at Deadline 5) which defines strategic compensation including its purpose and the mechanism for funding (the Marine Recovery Fund ("MRF") or equivalent fund). It is considered important that Hornsea Four is able to place reliance upon the delivery of strategic compensation, in addition to the evidence submitted to date for project specific compensation measures.

- 2.3.1.2 Further to this the law and guidance require that the Secretary of State has a rational basis for finding that he has discharged his duty to secure that necessary compensation measures can be delivered post-implementation of the development. The delivery of strategic compensation substantiates the likelihood of delivery of the compensation measure. For example, Hornsea Four will be able to take advantage of the ecological evidence obtained through the delivery of strategic pilots, alongside evolving plans for strategic monitoring (also committed to in the BESS). The ability to develop best practice for the delivery of measures would also be of benefit to those projects in the planning system. Outwith the MRF the Applicant continues to work closely with other developers currently in the planning system who have been tasked with delivering compensation measures to find opportunities for early collaboration. This could for example lead to the sharing of artificial nesting structures on or offshore. The Applicant is therefore open to early collaboration with other developers in the delivery of compensation measures and will, where appropriate, identify opportunities for co-location of measures. This could form an integral part in the discharge of the Applicants obligations but will always be subject to maintaining the projects timescales for delivery to ensure the overarching policy set out in the BESS is achieved.
- 2.3.1.3 The Applicant refers to the Marine Net Gain – Consultation on the principles of marine net gain dated 7 June 2022 (Defra, 2022), which includes reference to the newly announced Marine Recovery Fund (MRF). The Applicant originally committed at para. 3.1.1.7 of the [B2.6 Compensation Measures for FFC SPA Overview \(APP-183\)](#) to contribute to a fund (£100,000 per year for 5 years) to develop further research to support evidence gathering, such as the research led by the Offshore Wind Strategic Monitoring and Research Forum. This commitment is also detailed in the Applicant's [B2.10 Without Prejudice Derogation Funding Statement \(APP-202\)](#) and [B2.6.2 Appendix A Ørsted's Strategic Compensation Approach \(APP-185\)](#). The Applicant has updated their position and now considers the MRF or other equivalent fund to be an appropriate fund for the sums to be paid and has drafted specific wording to include in the DCO.
- 2.3.1.4 The Applicant has taken a further step by committing to pay an agreed sum into the MRF either in substitution for the delivery of one or more of the proposed compensation measures (such sum to be agreed in consultation with the Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) prior to approval of the relevant implementation plans). Alternatively, the contribution could be paid as an adaptive management measure.
- 2.3.1.5 The proposal to contribute to the MRF has been included as part of the proposed compensation plans upon which each of the implementation plans will be based. The Applicant has also included draft DCO wording confirming that the implementation plans must also include the purpose of the contribution (i.e. as an alternative to the delivery of a

specific compensation measure or as an adaptive management measure) and the amount and timing of the contribution (see Section 12).

3 Next Steps

3.1.1.1 The Applicant is continuing to refine the site selection and design details for an onshore nesting structure following the submission of the Hornsea Four DCO Application. The Kittiwake Compensation Plan (Revision 2 of **B2.7 FFC SPA: Kittiwake Compensation Plan**) and Roadmap included in the Application will continue to be updated based on stakeholder feedback and new relevant evidence prior to the close of Examination (noting that a separate version has been produced for gannet alone (**G5.17: FFC SPA: Gannet Compensation Plan**); which will be submitted at Deadline 5). The Applicant is continuing to closely follow the approach taken by other projects exploring onshore artificial nesting structures. Stakeholder engagement following application through the examination period will include:

- **Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies:** Continuing regular meetings with relevant statutory nature conservation bodies, including Natural England, for feedback and input on the site selection and design of an onshore structure.
- **Landowners:** The Applicant continues to pursue discussions with landowners of suitable locations within the zones identified in the Application. The Applicant is able to draw on knowledge gained from Hornsea Project Three who have been successful in purchasing land for the purpose of artificial nesting structures. Further detail provided at para. 6.1.1.4 below.
- **Site-specific consultation:** Once a specific location is known, the Applicant will consult on the designs for the nesting structure. Site-specific designs will then be developed taking consultation feedback into account. The Applicant will seek pre-application advice from the relevant Local Planning Authority. Once again, the Applicant is able to draw upon considerable knowledge gained from Hornsea Project Three who have agreed design principles, monitoring and adaptive management plans with the project's Offshore Ornithology Engagement Group (OOEG).

4 Indicative timescale for delivery and implementation

4.1.1.1 The high-level programme presented below (**Table 1**) is applicable to the implementation and delivery of the onshore artificial nesting compensation measure. The timing of implementation of the artificial nesting structure is provisional as the timeframe for Examination, consent award, reaching final investment decision (FID) and Contracts for Difference Allocation Round Five or Six, have not yet been set. The programme has been carefully considered to ensure timely delivery of the compensation measure. Therefore, the Applicant, through consultation with stakeholders, is committed to the implementation of a single artificial nesting structure (preferably a repurposed offshore structure) three kittiwake breeding seasons before operation.

4.1.1.2 The Policy paper 'British Energy Security Strategy' published by BEIS in April 2022¹ recognises the even greater need for rapid development of offshore wind farms committing to 'cut the process time by over half' and 'helping to speed up delivery timelines'.

4.1.1.3 The Applicant recognises how vital it is that the compensation delivered is not only successful for Hornsea Four, but for the industry and that the progress will be watched

¹ https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1069969/british-energy-security-strategy-web-accessible.pdf

closely. The Applicant retains its commitment to implement an artificial nesting structure three breeding seasons ahead of operation of the windfarm, as it has been argued that this balances the need to demonstrate the compensation measure will be effective with the pressing and urgent need to deliver 50GW of offshore wind energy by 2030, as set out in the British Energy Security Strategy. The Applicant does however believe that there is now a strong case to be made not to include a specific timescale in the DCO ahead of operation, but rather to simply state that the artificial nesting structures should be in place prior to operation. This approach would remove this issue as an impediment to the faster deployment of offshore wind energy.

4.1.1.4 The Applicant will continue to seek opportunities to accelerate the construction of the artificial nesting structure. It is noted that in February 2022, the UK Department of Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (BEIS) committed to annual CfD auctions from March 2023 and Auction Round 5. Previously, CfD auctions 1 to 4 had been held on an approximate 2-year cycle. Coupled with the new 50GW target, this demonstrates the clear priority to deliver significant capacity of offshore wind by 2030.

Table 1 Indicative timescale for delivery and implementation.

Activity	Year	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
Site Selection	2021 – 2022								
Refinement to short listed sites	2022								
Land option agreements sought with landowners	2022								
Concept design of artificial structure	2022								
Planning Permission/ Licences Application Submission	2023								
Anticipated Hornsea Four DCO Granted	2023								
Onshore nesting consent and licencing award	2023								
Detailed design of artificial structure	2023								
Fabrication of artificial structure	2023								
Transport, Installation & Commissioning	2023								
Compensation Implementation ²	2023/ 2024 - TBC								
Onshore Construction	2024								
Establishment of Offshore Ornithology Engagement Group (OOEG)	Following consent award								
Kittiwake Compensation Implementation and Monitoring Plan (KCIMP)	Following consent award								
KCIMP submitted to Secretary of State	Following consent award								
Offshore Construction of Hornsea Four Foundations	Q4 2026								

² Due to the uncertainty regarding Allocation Round 5 and 6 of the Contracts for Difference (CfD) scheme the date cannot be confirmed at this time.

Activity	Year	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
Offshore Construction of Hornsea Four Offshore Turbines	Q1 2027								
First Power (partially operational windfarm)	Q1 2028								

4.1.1.5 The Wind Farm is expected to operate for 35 years following construction. If required, the accepted compensation measure(s) would be monitored throughout the operational lifespan of the Wind Farm.

5 Consultation

- 5.1.1.1 Post-consent a steering group named the Offshore Ornithology Engagement Group (OOEG) would be convened by the Applicant to consult on the implementation, reporting and any necessary adaptive management of the structure as determined by the Applicant. The OOEG core members would be the relevant SNCB(s) and the MMO. The RSPB would also be invited to form part of the OOEG as advisory members (and potentially others as determined by the Applicant). Discussions with the OOEG will inform development of the Kittiwake Compensation Implementation and Monitoring Plan (KCIMP).
- 5.1.1.2 The KCIMP will be produced (following the content in the outline Kittiwake Compensation Implementation and Monitoring Plan (KCIMP) ([B2.7.6 Outline Kittiwake Compensation Implementation and Monitoring Plan](#); submitted with the DCO application) noting that separate versions have been produced for gannet alone ([G5.15 Gannet Compensation Implementation and Monitoring Plan Bycatch](#) and [G5.16 Gannet Compensation Implementation and Monitoring Plan Artificial Nesting Structure](#)) which will be submitted at Deadline 5, and will result in the removal of gannet from certain kittiwake documents. The KCIMP will document all the proposed compensation measures for kittiwake (including mechanisms and programme for delivery, monitoring, adaptive management and reporting). The OOEG will be consulted during development of the KCIMP. The KCIMP will be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval following consent award.
- 5.1.1.3 The Applicant will identify and define a practical, high-quality artificial nesting structure to support the required number of nesting birds. This would be discussed with the OOEG.
- 5.1.1.4 The Applicant will identify a location in the UK where an artificial structure to provide additional breeding opportunities to kittiwake can be established. This may be a new location or a re-purposed site. This selection of a location is currently being progressed by the on-going site identification process outlined within the Evidence Report ([B2.7.4 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Onshore Artificial Nesting: Ecological Evidence \(REP2-009\)](#)), this would be discussed with the OOEG.
- 5.1.1.5 Following design and location decisions, the project will move into the implementation phase. This will involve extensive consultation with stakeholders via the OOEG process to ensure cooperation across the monitoring aspects of the compensation measure. The proposed implementation process of the measure will be documented in the KCIMP and will be submitted to the Secretary of State (and other appropriate stakeholders) for approval.
- 5.1.1.6 The implementation of the compensation measures (see [Section 3.2](#) of [B2.7 FFC SPA: Kittiwake Compensation Plan](#); submitted at Deadline 5) will be monitored to report on how

the measure will be discussed with the OoEG and will be set out within the KCIMP for approval by the Secretary of State (and other relevant stakeholders, as necessary).

- 5.1.1.7 Monitoring will inform any adaptive management of the compensation measure, if required. The Applicant will focus on maximising effectiveness through good initial design and appropriate maintenance. This will be continued until Hornsea Four has ceased operating, and therefore no further collision mortality, or a determination is made by the Secretary of State, following consultation with the relevant statutory nature conservation body, that compensation is no longer required.
- 5.1.1.8 Reporting of the results of implementation of the compensation measure will be carried out according to timescales discussed with the OoEG and set out in the KCIMP. It is expected that annual reporting will be undertaken to monitor breeding success.

6 Site selection, design, and construction

6.1 Site selection

- 6.1.1.1 Site selection and the consideration of alternatives for onshore artificial nesting structure location, identifying the ecological, land acquisition and technical constraints and requirements, will be further developed and information submitted with the DCO application. The Applicant is working strategically to develop the onshore site selection and has been exploring the analysis undertaken for Hornsea Project Three to build upon the extensive site selection work and experience to consider potential opportunities for Hornsea Four. The Caton Bay to Newbiggin by the Sea search area were considered for Hornsea Four, in addition to East Suffolk, to establish specific sites on which an artificial nesting structure will be developed. Future work, such as progression of land agreements, has also been identified as being required.
- 6.1.1.2 The constraints and requirements established as a part of the site selection process have been led by the evidence-based approach, which are described in the Ecological Evidence reports ([B2.7.1 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Offshore Artificial Nesting: Ecological Evidence \(APP-187\)](#), [B2.7.3 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Onshore Artificial Nesting: Ecological Evidence \(APP-189\)](#)). Initial consultation has been carried out and no significant obstacles to development have been identified.
- 6.1.1.3 A full account of the ecological criteria for the site selection process undertaken to date is provided in [B2.7.5 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Artificial Nesting: Site Selection and Design \(APP-191\)](#) and an update on the site selection process is provided in the Applicant's submission to Deadline 1 at [G1.50 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Derogation and Compensation Update Position Statement \(REP1-071\)](#). The purpose of site selection has been to identify an area to host onshore an artificial nesting structure that will be occupied by new recruits in the English southern North Sea, whilst contributing to an increase of breeding adults to the biogeographic population. The preferred zone for installing onshore artificial nesting sites is located within the onshore to nearshore environment and the principles influencing this initial site selection work comprise:
- Locations which kittiwake will with certainty be able to find (for example either locations where there are existing (smaller) populations of kittiwake, or where there are factors which attract kittiwake);
 - Locations where there is evidence of stable/increasing productivity and evidence of an expanding population (as a proxy for favourable prey resource);

- Locations where there is a lack of existing natural or man-made suitable nesting habitat (locations where kittiwake are attempting to nest in unfavourable conditions such as ground nesting); and
- Waterfront location away from urban housing which minimise human interaction and where purpose built onshore artificial nests can ideally overhang water, to mimic the natural nesting conditions of the target species as far as possible.

6.1.1.4 Following the submission of the DCO Application, the Applicant has continued to refine the site selection for an onshore nesting structure. Further site selection and engagement with landowners and stakeholders is currently being progressed within areas that have been shortlisted as most suitable by the Applicant, with a view to final site selection in the second half of 2022. In December 2021 the Applicant contacted a number of landowners to see if they would be interested in land purchase by the Applicant for the construction of an artificial nesting structure. Expressions of interest were received from a number of landowners and the Applicant shortlisted less than 5 sites where it has recently completed site visits in the areas in question to undertake photographing and mapping of factors such as availability of nest space in the area and the proximity of the potential land options to neighbouring nesting birds. Due to the interested landowner sites being located within the Cayton Bay to Newbiggen by the Sea search area, the Applicant is focusing on these refined search areas north of FFC SPA. The Applicant is now taking the results of the survey into consideration and progressing landowner discussions. Following the end of Examination the Application will begin engagement with relevant Local Planning Authorities (LPAs).

6.2 Design

6.2.1.1 The design principles for an onshore artificial nesting structure are subject to significant further development; however, design principles of direct relevance to the size or appearance of the structure are as follows:

6.2.2 Kittiwake

- Steep sided with a near vertical back wall and narrow horizontal ledges;
- Located close to water, facing out to sea (i.e. nest adjacent to/above harbour waters/sea);
- Inaccessible to predators (additional anti-predation features may be required at some sites – e.g. fences/ barriers to deter mammalian predators (e.g. foxes and rats) and dependent on design bird spikes may be required as avian predator deterrents);
- Nesting ledges located above the level of highest astronomical tide and beyond the reach of wave or tidal action;
- Adequate ledge dimensions: Horizontal ledges 20 cm width; length per pair from 30 cm (working length 40 cm); and height between ledges at a minimum of 40 cm and maximum of 60cm. (Note these may be subject to change based on feedback from the stakeholders during detailed design);
- Minimum height at which the lowest shelves should begin depends on whether the structure is located directly over water or set back slightly, as well as the level of human disturbance anticipated;
- Overhang/roof to buffer against weather conditions as to act as and additional predator deterrents;
- Vertical wall leaning slightly forward (working angle of 5°; to minimise lower ledges becoming fouled by droppings and reduce predation risk);

- Using materials which are in-keeping with the structure's surroundings whilst ensuring they meet the requirements of kittiwake's natural habitat as much as possible; and
- Higher ledges could be wider than lower ledges (to prevent lower ledges becoming fouled by droppings) (BTO Field Guide No. 23, du Feu (2015)). However, wider upper ledges may increase predation risk/ allow non target species to nest.

6.2.2.1 Further design and engineering assessment works are currently being undertaken by the Applicant to determine the exact location and technical design criteria for any onshore artificial nesting structure, but for the purpose of the Application, the above is assumed and builds upon the work of Hornsea Project Three which has undertaken significant work to date on this topic.

6.2.2.2 It is anticipated that the structure will be located either at a waterfront location, or at a set-back location, dependent on land availability. The structure may be a permanent building, allowing for internal access for monitoring, or may be a prefabricated structure without internal access. An allowance for both has been included within the project description as the appearance and construction methodology would differ considerably. The maximum design parameters for a new onshore nesting foundation and platform are presented in [A4.6.1 Volume A4 Annex 6.1 Compensation Project Description \(APP-057\)](#). For kittiwake, each pair will require a ledge of up to 20cmx40cmx60cm (width, length, height). The distribution of these ledges can be tailored to a taller structure (by stacking more ledges on top of each other), or a longer structure (by providing more ledges on each row). This is based on ecological requirements in addition to the surrounding landscape and available land.

6.2.2.3 The shape of each structure is dependent on the detailed design stage and the surrounding landscape – the shape may be triangular, rectangular, hexagonal, etc.

6.3 Construction and operation

6.3.1.1 The construction of the onshore artificial nesting structure will depend on whether the structure comprises a building, or prefabricated structure (dependent on monitoring and access requirements for tagging).

6.3.1.2 Building construction works, are anticipated to comprise:

- Site preparation works, including vegetation clearance (if required), erection of site fencing and small-scale enabling works;
- Establishment of a site compound and temporary site infrastructure, including a site cabin and welfare facilities;
- Delivery of construction materials and equipment;
- Installation of necessary foundations (to be confirmed, dependant on detailed design and site location, may require piling); and
- Construction of the nesting structure on-site, methodology of which is dependent on the materials to be used (to be agreed as part of detailed design). Materials used for the building may comprise concrete, wood, or metal).

6.3.1.3 Prefabricated structure construction works are anticipated to comprise:

- Site preparation works, including vegetation clearance (if required), erection of site fencing and small-scale enabling works;
- Establishment of a site compound and temporary site infrastructure, including a site cabin and welfare facilities;

- Delivery of prefabricated components of the nesting structure and equipment;
- Installation of necessary foundations (to be confirmed, dependant on detailed design and site location, may require piling); and
- Assembly and Installation of the nesting structure on-site, methodology of which is dependent on the materials to be used (to be agreed as part of detailed design).
Materials used for the prefabricated structure may comprise wood or metal.

6.3.1.4 Monitoring and maintenance activities during operation could comprise the following:

- Removal of kittiwake guano from structure (to permit safe access rather than removal from nesting ledges) and appropriate disposal;
- Remedial works to structure (i.e. storm damage to nesting ledges);
- Ensuring structure is structurally sound;
- Changing batteries used for speakers playing kittiwake calls; and
- Removal of litter, graffiti or any objects deemed hazardous to kittiwakes.

7 Monitoring and Adaptive Management

7.1.1.1 Monitoring forms an integral component of the compensatory measure and will be discussed with relevant stakeholders through the OOEG on both an individual compensation project scale, and at a strategic level. The success in deployment of the kittiwake artificial nest structure will be monitored through observations of the number of breeding birds and their breeding success. Monitoring of these rates will follow the standard methods provided by Walsh *et al.*, (1995) and specified by the Joint Nature Conservation Committee's (JNCC) Seabird Monitoring Programme which acts as the hub of seabird population information. All relevant monitoring data collected during the project will be contributed to the JNCC's Seabird Monitoring Programme. Collection of seabird data in this format will permit comparisons to be made with on-going monitoring at existing colonies along the east coast of England, including that undertaken by the RSPB at the FFC SPA (Babcock *et al.*, 2018). In order to monitor the number of breeding birds and their breeding success whole colony counts and productivity monitoring will be conducted at the artificial nest site.

7.1.1.2 Monitoring will first be undertaken at existing kittiwake colonies adjacent to the proposed artificial nesting structure location to provide context for the performance of the artificial nests once they have been constructed. Post construction, monitoring of the artificial nesting structure will be conducted to record both breeding birds and breeding success of the first breeding season and will continue for the lifetime of the offshore wind farm project (while also informing adaptive management and maintenance). The precise nature of monitoring at the structure will be influenced by the final form and location the compensation measure takes, but the intention is to predominantly carry out remote monitoring using cameras on the structure. It is noted within the relevant Evidence Reports, that the exact methods required may differ between an onshore and offshore request structure, but the design of the structure will seek to incorporate monitoring whilst minimising disturbance. The frequency, duration and nature of the monitoring will be discussed with OOEG members following the Applicant's decision on the refined areas of search for the structure. Monitoring will also be undertaken at adjacent existing colonies to determine whether population trends at the artificial nest structure are colony or site

specific. The details of the monitoring will be set out within the KCIMP for approval by the Secretary of State.

- 7.1.1.3 Monitoring of the artificial nesting structure will inform the adaptive management programme and influence any potential maintenance work required on the structure. With reference to adaptive management, monitoring of breeding pairs and breeding success each breeding season will likely determine the employment of adaptive management the following season. However, the point of intervention, when adaptive management should be incorporated, will be an area led by the Applicant and explored with the OOEG members.
- 7.1.1.4 In addition to the monitoring of compensation effectiveness outlined above, the deployment of an artificial nesting structure (either new or repurposed) for kittiwake presents an opportunity for research and monitoring at a strategic level. Furthermore, providing access to birds and their nests through structure design can facilitate further research opportunities, and projects to increase understanding of adult survival. Such research could help deliver some of the strategic research opportunities identified by stakeholders through the Offshore Wind Strategic Monitoring and Research Forum (OWSMRF) (Ruffino *et al.*, 2020). Such opportunities could include the following:
- RO3.1c - Undertake targeted empirical data collection as informed by the sensitivity analyses (RO3.1b);
 - RO3.3c - Deploying strategic adult kittiwake mark-recapture at multiple colonies, and analyses of re-sighting data (Re-trapping Adults for Survival (RAS) studies);
 - RO3.3d - Deploying strategic chick mark-recapture at multiple colonies, and analyses of re-sighting data; and
 - RO3.9b - Regional comparison of kittiwake diets during the breeding season: field studies.
- 7.1.1.5 Hornsea Project Three has already committed to delivering some of the OWSMRF research in relation to kittiwake diet and Hornsea Four could build on and complement this work. The Applicant is therefore exploring the contribution to strategic level monitoring. It is also important to note the Hornsea Four Outline Ornithological Monitoring Plan report ([F2.19: Outline Ornithological Monitoring Plan \(APP-254\)](#)) which outlines the proposed approach and objectives of any ornithological monitoring required by the Deemed Marine Licences (DMLs) prior to the granting of development consent. The report considers both kittiwake along with other seabird species (including guillemot and razorbill).
- 7.1.1.6 As stated above, the monitoring taken forward will be consulted on with the OOEG and detailed in the KCIMP that will be submitted for approval prior to the commencement of the authorised project.

7.2 Adaptive Management

- 7.2.1.1 Adaptive management is an iterative, post-consent process which combines management measures and subsequent monitoring with the aim of improving effectiveness, whilst also updating knowledge and improving decision making over time. Adaptive management will be an important component of the compensation measure and will address unforeseen issues or deviations from expected time scales (i.e., colonisation rate of structure). Any adaptive measures will be thoroughly discussed and explored with relevant stakeholders as part of the OOEG prior to the implementation of any option. Further detail on each adaptive management option is presented in Evidence Report ([B2.7.1 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Offshore Artificial Nesting: Ecological Evidence \(APP-186\)](#), [B2.7.3 Compensation](#)

measures for FFC SPA: Onshore Artificial Nesting: Ecological Evidence (APP-189)). All known issues and risks will be mitigated through good design of the structure and routine maintenance.

- 7.2.1.2 Multiple adaptive management measures will be explored prior to the construction of the artificial nesting structure as it is important to consider the differences between intelligent structure design (which is covered in a separate section) and maintenance activity³, and adaptive management. The site selection process gives weight on locations where productivity for kittiwake in relation to prey availability is favourable and the population is expanding to give confidence that this would not be an issue in the short to medium term.
- 7.2.1.3 For kittiwake, acknowledging that there is natural large inter-annual variability in prey resource (forage fish recruitment), there may be short term (1-2 years) opportunities (if required) to enhance the availability of prey at or adjacent to the structure in the breeding season. This is discussed in more detail in the Evidence Reports (**B2.7.1 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Offshore Artificial Nesting: Ecological Evidence (APP-187)**, **B2.7.3 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Onshore Artificial Nesting: Ecological Evidence (APP-189)**) and within the Supporting Evidence for Seabird Prey Resource report (**B2.6.2 Compensation Measures for FFC SPA: Prey Resource Evidence (APP-185)**) exact methods will be discussed with the OOEG. In the mid to long term, the results of the initial diet studies together with fisheries data (Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authorities (IFCA), International Council for the Exploration of the Sea. (ICES), etc.) could be used to inform temporary measures to increase productivity at the structure.
- 7.2.1.4 The data collected will be shared with relevant advisors and authorities in order to inform consideration of fisheries management by UK government if required. Any long-term challenges to the effectiveness of the artificial nest structure relating to prey resource should be viewed in a North Sea context and in the context of natural variability and climate change. If the Applicant, in consultation with the OOEG, concludes that the artificial nesting structure is ineffective in delivering compensation and after all adaptive management options relating to the performance of the structure have been exhausted, the Applicant will consult with the OOEG with the aim of identifying alternative long-term compensation measures that are securable, deliverable and proportionate to the impact on the kittiwake at FFC SPA. In such circumstances, the Applicant will update the KCIMP and will carry out the updated Plan as approved. Adaptive management measures are designed to support the compensation measure once functioning (post construction) as a way of furthering the success and supporting resilience of the measure (Evidence Reports (**B2.7.1 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Offshore Artificial Nesting: Ecological Evidence (APP-187)**, **B2.7.3 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Onshore Artificial Nesting: Ecological Evidence (APP-189)**). As mentioned above, adaptive management will be linked closely to the monitoring plan, the full detail of which will be agreed through the OOEG and set out within the KCIMP.
- 7.2.1.5 The Applicant is heavily involved in advancing the offshore wind industry's strategic compensation outlook. They have initiated and led the composition of strategic compensation groups; drawing together offshore wind developers and government bodies to increase knowledge and develop synergies to deliver compensation which will secure renewable energy and support the Government's ambitious energy targets. The Applicant

³ It is worth noting at this stage that ad-hoc maintenance, not linked to adaptive management, to the structure will also be highlighted by the monitoring plan. This will allow any remedial works or repairs to be conducted during the non-breeding season when breeding birds are not present at the structure (further information is provided in the relevant Evidence Report).

will ensure it stays abreast of the advancements made by the group and is well placed to support and join any strategic compensation options developed by the fund.

An alternative approach than that outlined above is for the Applicant to contribute to a fund as an adaptive management measure. Reference can be made to the Marine Net Gain – Consultation on the principles of marine net gain dated 7th June 2022 (Defra, 2022), which includes reference to the newly announced Marine Recovery Fund (MRF). The MRF proposes a “contributions based approach” to net gain requirements, but has been given a broad application to be used to develop strategic compensation. The MRF forms part of the Offshore Wind Environmental Improvement Package of the BESS. The Applicant has proposed wording below in **Section 11** in relation to the option to contribute to the MRF for adaptive management.

8 Decommissioning

8.1.1.1 The requirement for, and the exact nature of decommissioning the onshore nesting structure, will be determined in consultation with the relevant authorities towards the end of the 35-year operational life of Hornsea Four.

8.1.1.2 For an onshore nesting structure, the Applicant will design the structure for a design life equal to that of the windfarm (i.e. 35 years plus potentially 3 years to establish the compensation measures, pre-wind farm operation. Therefore, the lifetime of the structure is approximately 38 years). In the final few years of wind farm operation, the Applicant will commence inspections and surveys of the bird nesting structure to determine if an extension of the lifetime is possible.

9 Securing key consents and legal agreements

9.1 Legal agreement(s)

9.1.1.1 The Applicants primary approach is to secure voluntary agreements with landowners to purchase a freehold title or long leasehold interest for the land required for the artificial nesting site, together with associated rights. It is the Applicants intention to enter into multiple option agreements, if considered appropriate, in order to ensure maximum flexibility in determining the final site. The Applicant is well positioned to build upon the initial work undertaken by Hornsea Project Three in this regard and will collaborate with Hornsea Project Three where appropriate to do so. If the Applicant fails to secure land rights by way of voluntary agreement, then compulsory acquisition powers are available as outlined in the Compulsory Purchase section below.

9.1.1.2 Generally the Applicant will be seeking:

- An initial option agreement that grants the Applicant exclusivity over a specified area of land for a set period with the ability to call on the land transaction to permit the installation and maintenance of the artificial nesting structure;
- Either the freehold purchase of land and/or grant of a long leasehold interest;
- Rights of access and to install service media to permit initial construction and ongoing maintenance, repair and monitoring of each structure;
- Restrictive covenants to protect the bird population on each structure, including restrictions on development and disturbance on the adjoining land, and
- Collaboration with landowners and occupiers in respect of predator deterrents/control measures on each artificial nesting site and adjoining land.

9.1.1.3 The Applicant will secure a term or option duration that secures the land for the operational lifetime of the offshore wind farm and will seek to secure the maximum flexibility to deliver the sites in a timely manner and for the duration required for the conditions of the DCO.

9.2 Compulsory Purchase

9.2.1.1 The Applicant has obtained legal advice confirming that, if necessary, compulsory acquisition powers can be obtained for the acquisition of sites based in England and Wales. In order to be successful in applying for these powers, the Applicant will need to satisfy the compulsory acquisition tests i.e. there must be a compelling case in the public interest and the rights sought must be necessary and proportionate. It will also be necessary to demonstrate the alternatives to compulsory acquisition has been considered and reasonable attempts to secure the necessary land rights by way of voluntary agreement have been exhausted.

9.2.1.2 The Applicant holds a Generation License pursuant to section 6 of the Electricity Act 1989 (the "1989 Act") and can therefore promote a compulsory purchase order under the 1989 Act. If that were pursued it would be necessary to demonstrate that the delivery of compensatory measures is a purpose connected with activities related to electricity generation. This is the case as the delivery of the compensation measure will be required by the DCO as a compensation measure for the impact of Hornsea Four on the FFC SPA.

10 Securing key consents

10.1.1.1 In parallel with securing the requisite land rights the Applicant will assess what site specific consents are needed. The Applicant will confirm whether it is necessary to submit a planning application for the carrying out of development (under Section 57(1) of the 1990 Act). If an environmental statement is required, then the time period for granting permission is sixteen weeks. The Applicant will engage with the LPA(s) using their pre-application advisory service before finalising a location to assess the likelihood of success of a proposed application in light of local planning policy. The Applicant's preliminary view is that although the development will be screened, it is likely that an environmental statement will not be required. If that is the case, the time period for granting any requisite permission would be eight weeks. It is acknowledged that additional consents may also be required, such as listed building consent if the intention is to build or adapt an existing structure in the vicinity of a listed building.

10.1.1.2 If any of the sites identified are coastal locations such that there are overlapping regulatory authorities, the Applicant will engage with both the Marine Management Organisation and the relevant Local Planning Authority pursuant to the Coastal Concordat (November 2013). This will determine whether a Marine Licence application is also required. The Applicant will then follow the process as outlined in the [B2.7.1 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Offshore Artificial Nesting: Roadmap](#) (submitted at Deadline 5).

10.1.1.3 The relevant consents will address any proposed decommissioning requirements, specifically the requirement to submit a decommissioning plan upon cessation of generation of the windfarm. The Applicant will work with the local planning authority to ensure the conditions in the planning permits are consistent with the ongoing requirements under the DCO. The outline programme identified that the consenting process could be realistically

completed within a timeframe that enables the measure to be implemented and starting to host breeding kittiwake sufficiently in advance of the impact occurring.

11 Draft DCO wording

Commentary:

Article 40 of the draft DCO currently gives effect to Schedule 16 of the draft DCO:

Compensation provisions

40. Schedule 16 (compensation to protect the coherence of the national site network) has effect.

Part 1 and Part 2 of Schedule 16 makes provision for compensatory measures for kittiwake.

Part 3 of Schedule 16 makes provision for a contribution to the Marine Recovery Fund.

Part 4 of Schedule 16 makes provision for fish habitat enhancement.

If necessary, the Secretary of State could amend Schedule 16 to secure compensatory measures for gannet, guillemot and razorbill, in accordance with the draft provisions set out below.

For the avoidance of doubt, no amendment would be required to article 40, which as noted above already gives effect to the entirety of Schedule 16.

Schedule 16

COMPENSATION TO PROTECT THE COHERENCE OF THE NATIONAL SITE NETWORK

Part 1

OFFSHORE ORNITHOLOGY ENGAGEMENT GROUP

1. In this Schedule—

“Defra” means the Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.

“the FFC” means the site designated as the Flamborough and Filey Coast Special protection Area; “GCIMP” means the gannet compensation implementation and monitoring plan for the delivery of measures to compensate for the predicted loss of adult gannet from the FFC as a result of the authorised development;

“GRCIMP” means guillemot and razorbill compensation implementation and monitoring plan for the delivery of measures to compensate for the predicted loss of adult guillemot and razorbill from the FFC as a result of the authorised development;

“KCIMP” means the kittiwake compensation implementation and monitoring plan for the delivery of measures to compensate for the predicted loss of adult kittiwakes from the FFC as a result of the authorised development;

“the gannet compensation plan” means the document certified as the gannet compensation plan by the Secretary of State for the purposes of this Order under article 38 (certification of plans and documents, etc);

“the guillemot and razorbill compensation plan” means the document certified as the guillemot and razorbill compensation plan by the Secretary of State for the purposes of this Order under article 38 (certification of plans and documents, etc);

“the Hornsea Four Offshore Ornithology Engagement Group” or “H4 OOEG” means the group that will assist, through consultation, the undertaker in the delivery of the compensation measures identified in the kittiwake compensation plan, the gannet compensation plan and the guillemot and razorbill compensation plan;

“the kittiwake compensation plan” means the document certified as the kittiwake compensation plan by the Secretary of State for the purposes of this Order under article 38 (certification of plans and documents, etc.);

2. “the Marine Recovery Fund” means the fund operated by Defra pursuant to the Offshore Wind Environmental Improvement Package of the British Energy Security Strategy (April 2022) for the implementation of strategic compensation or any equivalent fund established by a Government body for that purpose.

“the offshore compensation measures” means, as the context requires, bycatch reduction and/or the offshore nesting structure(s); and “the onshore compensation measure” means, as the context requires, predator eradication and/or the onshore nesting structure(s).

3. Work Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 together with any associated development offshore may not be commenced until a plan for the work of the H4 OOEG has been submitted to and approved by the Secretary of State, such plan to include—

terms of reference of the H4 OOEG;

details of the membership of the H4 OOEG which must include—

the MMO and the relevant statutory nature conservation body as core members for the offshore compensation measures;

the relevant local planning authority and statutory nature conservation body as core members for the onshore compensation measures;

the RSPB and The Wildlife Trust as advisory members, for both the onshore compensation measures and/or the offshore compensation measures subject to their area of expertise;

details of the proposed schedule of meetings, timetable for preparation of the KCIMP, the GCIMP and the GRCIMP and reporting and review periods;

the dispute resolution mechanism and confidentiality provisions; and

4. the scope of work to be limited to the topics for discussion as identified by the appointed chair to include in relation to the compensation measure, monitoring and adaptive management.

Part 2

KITTIWAKE COMPENSATION

1. Following consultation with the H4 OOEG, the KCIMP must be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval in consultation with the MMO and relevant statutory nature conservation body for the offshore compensation measure (if required), and with the relevant local planning authority and relevant statutory nature conservation body for the onshore compensation measure (if required). The KCIMP must be based on the strategy for kittiwake compensation set out in the kittiwake compensation plan and include—

- a. details of location where the compensation measure will be delivered, and in the event an onshore structure is required, details of landowner agreement(s) and in the event an offshore structure is required, details of any relevant seabed agreement(s);
 - b. details of the design of the artificial nesting structure; including the projected number of nests that will be accommodated on the structure, and how risks from avian or mammalian predation and for an onshore nesting structure how unauthorised human access will be mitigated;
 - c. an implementation timetable for delivery of the artificial nesting structure, such timetable to ensure that the structure is in place to allow for at least three full kittiwake breeding seasons prior to operation of any turbine forming part of the authorised development. For the purposes of this paragraph each breeding season is assumed to have commenced on 1st April in each year and ended on 31st August;
 - d. details of the maintenance schedule for the artificial nesting structure;
 - e. details for the proposed ongoing monitoring of the measure including—
 - i. survey methods;
 - ii. survey programmes; and
 - iii. colony and productivity counts;
 - f. recording of H4 OOEG consultations;
 - g. details of any adaptive management measures, with details of the factors used to trigger any such measures; and
 - h. provision for reporting to the Secretary of State, to include details of the use of the structure by breeding kittiwake to identify barriers to success and target any adaptive management measures.
 - i. provision for the option to be exercised at the sole discretion of the undertaker to pay a contribution (in addition to the sum stipulated in Part 3 of this Schedule) to the Marine Recovery Fund wholly or partly in substitution for the onshore compensation measure and/or the offshore compensation measure or as an adaptive management measure for the purposes of paragraph 1.g. of this Part of this Schedule. The sum of the contribution to be agreed between the undertaker and Defra in consultation with the OOEG and included in the KCIMP.
2. Paragraphs 3, 4 and 5 of this Part of this Schedule shall not apply to the extent that a contribution to the Marine Recovery Fund has been elected in substitution for the onshore compensation measure and/or the offshore compensation measure for the purposes of paragraph 1(i) of this Part of this Schedule.
 3. The undertaker must construct the artificial nesting structure as set out in the KCIMP approved by the Secretary of State.
 4. The undertaker must notify the Secretary of State of completion of construction of the artificial nesting structure as set out in the KCIMP.
 5. The artificial nesting structure must not be decommissioned without prior written approval of the Secretary of State in consultation with relevant statutory nature conservation body.
 6. The KCIMP approved under this Schedule includes any amendments that may subsequently be approved in writing by the Secretary of State. Any amendments to or variations of the approved KCIMP must be in accordance with the principles set out in the kittiwake compensation plan and may only be approved where it has been demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Secretary of State that it is unlikely to give rise to any materially new or materially different environmental effects from those considered in the kittiwake compensation plan.

Part 3

CONTRIBUTION TO MARINE RECOVERY FUND

1. No turbine forming part of the authorised development may begin operation until the undertaker has paid the sum of £500,000 (five hundred thousand pounds) to the Marine Recovery Fund.

PART 4

FISH HABITAT ENHANCEMENT

1. No turbine forming part of the authorised development may begin operation until arrangements for the implementation of fish habitat enhancement measures have been put in place in accordance with the principles set out in the KCIMP, the GCIMP and the GRCIMP.

PART 5

GANNET COMPENSATION

1. Following consultation with the H4 OoEG, the GCIMP must be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval in consultation with the MMO and relevant statutory nature conservation body for the offshore compensation measure(s) (if required), and with the relevant local planning authority and relevant statutory nature conservation body for the onshore compensation measure (if required). The GCIMP must be based on the strategy for gannet compensation set out in the gannet compensation plan and must include:
 - a. for the artificial nesting structure measure:
 - i. details of the location where compensation measure will be delivered, and in the event an onshore structure is required, details of landowner agreement(s) and in the event an offshore structure is required, details of any relevant seabed agreement(s);
 - ii. details of the design of the artificial nesting structure; including the projected number of nests that will be accommodated on the structure, and how risks from avian or mammalian predation and for an onshore nesting structure how unauthorised human access will be mitigated;
 - iii. an implementation timetable for delivery of the artificial nesting structure, such timetable to ensure that the structure is in place to allow for at least three full gannet breeding seasons prior to operation of any turbine forming part of the authorised development. For the purposes of this paragraph each breeding season is assumed to have commenced on 1st April in each year and ended on 31st August
 - iv. details of the maintenance schedule for the artificial nesting structure;
 - v. details for the proposed ongoing monitoring of the measure including
 - vi. 1. survey methods;
 - vii. 2. survey programmes; and
 - viii. 3. colony and productivity counts;
 - ix. recording of H4 OoEG consultations;
 - x. details of any adaptive management measures, with details of the factors used to trigger any such measures; and
 - xi. provision for reporting to the Secretary of State, to include details of the use of the structure by breeding gannet to identify barriers to success and target any adaptive management measures;
 - xii. provision for the option to be exercised at the sole discretion of the undertaker to pay a contribution (in addition to the sum stipulated in Part 3 of this Schedule) to the Marine Recovery Fund wholly or partly in substitution for the

onshore and/or offshore artificial nesting structures or as an adaptive management measure for the purposes of paragraph 1.a.vii of this Part of this Schedule. The sum of the contribution to be agreed between the undertaker and Defra in consultation with OoEG and included in the GCIMP.

- b. for the bycatch reduction measure:
 - i. details of relevant technology supply agreements and arrangements with fishers to use the bycatch reduction technology that will be or have been secured by the undertaker;
 - ii. an implementation timetable for provision of the bycatch reduction measure, such timetable to ensure that contract(s) are entered into with fishers for the provision and use of bycatch reduction technology no later than one year prior to the operation of any turbine forming part of the authorised development;
 - iii. details for the proposed ongoing monitoring of the measure including collection of data from participating fishers;
 - iv. recording of H4 OoEG consultations;
 - v. details of any adaptive management measures and details of the factors used to trigger any such measures; and
 - vi. provision for annual reporting to the Secretary of State, to identify barriers to success and target any adaptive management measures.
 - vii. provision for the option to be exercised at the sole discretion of the undertaker to pay a contribution (in addition to the sum stipulated in Part 3 of this Schedule) to the Marine Recovery Fund wholly or partly in substitution for the bycatch measures or as an adaptive management measure for the purposes of paragraph 1.b.v of this Part of this Schedule. The sum of the contribution to be agreed between the undertaker and Defra in consultation with OoEG and included in the GCIMP.
2. Paragraphs 3, 4 and 5 of this Part of this Schedule shall not apply to the extent that a contribution to the Marine Recovery Fund has been elected in substitution for the onshore compensation measure and/or the offshore compensation measure and/or the bycatch compensation measure for the purposes of paragraphs 1.a.ix and 1.b.vii of this Part of this Schedule.
3. The undertaker must construct the artificial nesting structure and enter into contract(s) with fishers for the provision and use of bycatch reduction technology as set out in the GCIMP approved by the Secretary of State.
4. The undertaker must notify the Secretary of State of completion of construction of the artificial nesting structure and the entering into contract(s) with fishers for the provision and use of bycatch reduction technology as set out in the GCIMP.
5. The artificial nesting structure must not be decommissioned without prior written approval of the Secretary of State in consultation with relevant statutory nature conservation body.
6. The GCIMP approved under this Schedule includes any amendments that may subsequently be approved in writing by the Secretary of State. Any amendments to or variations of the approved GCIMP must be in accordance with the principles set out in the gannet compensation plan and may only be approved where it has been demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Secretary of State that it is unlikely to give rise to any materially new or materially different environmental effects from those considered in the gannet compensation plan.

PART 6

GUILLEMOT AND RAZORBILL COMPENSATION

1. Following consultation with the H4 OoEG, the GRCIMP must be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval in consultation with the MMO and relevant statutory nature conservation body for the offshore compensation measure, and with the relevant statutory nature

conservation body and the relevant local planning authority and relevant conservation trusts for the onshore compensation measure. The GRCIMP must be based on the strategy for guillemot and razorbill compensation set out in the guillemot and razorbill compensation plan and include:

- a. for the predator eradication measure:
 - i. details of the location(s) where the compensation measure will be delivered;
 - ii. details of how any necessary access rights, licences and approvals have or will be obtained and any biosecurity measures will be or have been secured;
 - iii. an implementation timetable for delivery of the predator eradication measure, such timetable to ensure that the predator eradication method has commenced no later than two years prior to operation of any turbine forming part of the authorised development;
 - iv. details for the proposed ongoing monitoring of the measure including:
 - v. 1. survey methods;
 - vi. 2. survey programmes;
 - vii. 3. productivity rates;
 - viii. 4. breeding population; and
 - ix. 5. distribution of breeding birds;
 - x. recording of H4 OOEG consultations;
 - xi. details of any adaptive management measures, with details of the factors used to trigger any such measures; and
 - xii. provision for reporting to the Secretary of State, to include details of the use of the location(s) by breeding guillemot and razorbill to identify barriers to success and target any adaptive management measures.
 - xiii. provision for the option to be exercised at the sole discretion of the undertaker to pay a contribution (in addition to the sum stipulated in Part 3 of this Schedule) to the Marine Recovery Fund wholly or partly in substitution for the predator eradication measures or as an adaptive management measure for the purposes of paragraph 1.a.vi. of this Part of this Schedule] The sum of the contribution to be agreed between the undertaker and Defra in consultation with OOEG and included in the GRCIMP.
- b. for the bycatch reduction measure:
 - i. details of relevant technology supply agreements and arrangements with fishers to use the bycatch reduction technology that will be or have been secured by the undertaker;
 - ii. an implementation timetable for provision of the bycatch reduction measure, such timetable to ensure that contract(s) are entered into with fishers for the provision and use of bycatch reduction technology no later than one year prior to the operation of any turbine forming part of the authorised development;
 - iii. details for the proposed ongoing monitoring of the measure including collection of data from participating fishers;
 - iv. recording of H4 OOEG consultations;
 - v. details of any adaptive management measures and details of the factors used to trigger any such measures; and
 - vi. provision for annual reporting to the Secretary of State, to identify barriers to success and target the adaptive management measures.
 - vii. provision for the option to be exercised at the sole discretion of the undertaker to pay a contribution (in addition to the sum stipulated in Part 3 of this Schedule) to the Marine Recovery Fund wholly or partly in substitution for the bycatch eradication measures or as an adaptive management measure for the

purposes of paragraph 1.b.vi of this Part of this Schedule] The sum of the contribution to be agreed between the undertaker and Defra in consultation with OOEG and included in the GRCIMP.

2. Paragraphs 3 and 4 of this Part of this Schedule shall not apply to the extent that a contribution to the Marine Recovery Fund has been elected in substitution for the predator eradication measure and/or the bycatch compensation measure for the purposes of paragraphs 1.a.viii and 1.b.vii of this Part of this Schedule.
3. The undertaker must carry out the predator eradication method and enter into contract(s) with fishers for the provision and use of bycatch reduction technology as set out in the GRCIMP approved by the Secretary of State.
4. The undertaker must notify the Secretary of State of completion of the predator eradication method and entering into contract(s) with fishers for the provision and use of bycatch reduction technology set out in the GRCIMP.
5. The GRCIMP approved under this Schedule includes any amendments that may subsequently be approved in writing by the Secretary of State. Any amendments to or variations of the approved GRCIMP must be in accordance with the principles set out in the guillemot and razorbill compensation plan and may only be approved where it has been demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Secretary of State that it is unlikely to give rise to any materially new or materially different environmental effects from those considered in the guillemot and razorbill compensation plan.

12 Funding

- 12.1.1.1 The Applicant has identified the costs associated with the development, construction, operation and decommissioning of the proposed compensation measure. These costs have been included within a detailed Funding Statement ([B2.10 RP Volume B2 Chapter 10 Without Prejudice Derogation Funding Statement \(APP-202\)](#)). This statement is supplemental to the Funding Statement submitted as part of the suite of Application documents. The Funding Statement(s) outline the overall project cost based on the capital expenditure and operational expenditure assumptions in the "Review of Renewable Electricity Generation Cost and Technical Assumptions" (DECC 2016). The Funding Statement(s) also detail the corporate structure and a robust explanation to allow the SoS to conclude that the necessary funding to deliver the compensation measure can be secured.

13 Conclusion

- 13.1.1.1 The Applicant is confident that the compensation measure is viable, will be effective and can be delivered. The Applicant will continue stakeholder engagement to demonstrate the suitability of the refined site selection and design and ensure the compensation measure can be readily achieved and secured.

14 References

APEM (2021). Proof of Concept (PoC) aerial survey of offshore structure. Report to Hornsea Four.

Babcock, M., Aitken, D., Lloyd, I., Wischniewski, S., Baker, R., Duffield, H., and Barratt, A. (2018). Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA Seabird Monitoring Programme 2018. RSPB, Sandy.

BEIS (2022) British Energy Security Strategy. Available at:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/british-energy-security-strategy/british-energy-security-strategy>

Defra (2022). Marine Net Gain Consultation on the principles of marine net gain. Available at:
https://consult.defra.gov.uk/defra-net-gain-consultation-team/consultation-on-the-principles-of-marine-net-gain/supporting_documents/Consultation%20on%20the%20Principles%20of%20Marine%20Net%20Gain.pdf [Accessed 7 June 2022].

Horswill, C. and Robinson, R.A. (2015). Review of seabird demographic rates and density dependence. JNCC Report No. 552. JNCC, Peterborough.

Niras (2021). Boat-based survey of oil and gas structures in the southern North Sea. Report to Hornsea Four.

Ruffino, L., Thompson, D. & O'Brien, S. (2020). JNCC Report No. 651: Black-legged kittiwake population dynamics and drivers of population change in the context of offshore wind development. Published: 2020-05-29.

Stroud, D.A., Bainbridge, I.P., Maddock, A., Anthony, S., Baker, H., Buxton, N., Chambers, D., Enlander, I., Hearn, R.D., Jennings, K.R, Mavor, R., Whitehead, S. & Wilson, J.D. – on behalf of the UK SPA & Ramsar Scientific Working Group (eds). (2016). The status of UK SPAs in the 2000s: the Third Network Review. JNCC, Peterborough.

Walsh, P.M., Halley, D.J., Harris, M.P., del Nevo, A., Sim, I.M.W. & Tasker, M.L. (1995). Monitoring Handbook for Britain and Ireland. JNCC/RSPB/ITE/Seabird Group, Peterborough.